C/F 300-00

INTHE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 1569 OF 2001

PETITIONERS

1) M/s R.K. & COMPANY , a Partnership firm, duly registered under the relevant provisions of the Partnership Act 1932, Jain Mandir Road, Jagdalpur.

2) M/s R Kumar & Brothers, a partnership firm duly registered under the relevant provisions of the partnership Act 1932, Jain Mandir Road, Jagdalpur

3) Rakesh Kumar Jain, son of Shri Gulab Chand Jain, partner in both the firm M/S R K & Co. and M/s R. KUMAR & Brothers, Jain Mandir Road, Jagdalpur.

P. R. No. 1620/07
received by Shri R.P. Sharma
dated 24/8/07

उपायुक्त, बिलासपुर तहसील बिलासपुर 23/8/07

Received
24/8/07
Copy to A.S. Gowardhan

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) RESERVE BANK OF INDIA A body Corporate Constituted under the relevant provisions of the Reserve Bank of India Act having its head office at Mumbai.

9

2) CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA.
A body Corporate Constituted under the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Under Takings) Act 1970, having its Central Office at Chander Mukhi, Nariman Point Mumbai 400 021 and a branch at Jagdalpur.

3) The Debt Recovery Tribunal,
South Civil Lines
Jabalpur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF PROHIBITION MANDAMUS CERTIORARI ETC. AND/OR FOR ORDER(S) AND/OR FOR THE DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF THE WRITS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1569/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

4.9.2001:

Mr.B.P.Sharma for the petitioner.

The present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed on the ground that the guidelines issued by the respondent no.1 (P-1) are illegal,arbitrary and contrary to law.

From the order dt. 27.4.2001 passed by the Presiding Judge, of Debts Recovery Tribunal,it is clear that arguments have been concluded and the case is reserved for orders.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and particularly the fact that the case is already reserved for judgment by tribunal, in our opinion no case for exercise of jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is made out at this stage. However,he may raise the grounds in appeal if such an eventuality arises.

The petition is disposed of at the stage with liberty to prefer an appeal in case such an eventuality arises.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये